

Oral/poster presentations - Marking Rubric

Criteria	0	1	2	3	Score	Questions to consider
Title	Absent	Present				
Background	Absent	Vague	Average	Clear		Demonstrated comprehensive and scientifically legitimate understanding of the topic? Delivered in a scientifically interesting form? Informative and thought-provoking? Is the context of the research clear to a non-specialist?
Aims	Absent	Vague	Average	Clear		Identified and clearly presented the core scientific questions, hypotheses and aims? Have these ideas been intelligibly communicated? Are these leading to addressable scientific experiments or a creative review?
Methods	Absent	Vague	Average	Clear		How well and deep do they connect with the methodology? Do they connect clearly 'why' the methods were used? Parallel alternatives?
Results/ Expected outcomes	Absent	Vague	Average	Clear		Clear explanation, interpretation and critical judgement of the results? Represented with sufficient quality of illustrations, plots, pictures, and images with controls? Technical aesthetics and logic.
Conclusion	Absent	Vague	Average	Clear		Does the conclusion reflect the aims and is it supported by the results? Are the future implications mentioned?
Overall communication		Poor	Average	Clear		Overall efficient communication? Free of jargon? Understandable to a non-expert? Good language and grammar? Interactive during Q&A or discussion?
Overall presentation		Poor	Average	Clear		Appropriate use of visuals and diagrams. Good composition of the slide/poster. Good overall flow of presentation. Polished performance.
References	Absent	Present				Appropriate referencing/citations where applicable.
Total score					/23	

